

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-957-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.05.2017

Paramvir Singh @ Gora

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present : None for the petitioner (s).

Mr. R.S. Nain, AAG, Punjab

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

CRM-14930-2017

Allowed as prayed for and Annexure P-10 is taken on record,
subject to all just exceptions.

Main Case

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.136 dated 07.09.2016, registered under Sections 307, 323 and 148 read with Section 149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, at Police Station City, Kotkapura, Distt. Faridkot.

It has been averred in the petition that no fire injury is attributed to the petitioner. He is in custody since 28.09.2016. As per Annexures P-5 to P-8, statement of the petitioner along with other accused

and the complainant, compromise has been effected between the parties and the same is duly acknowledged by the complainant. On the basis of said compromise, a quashing petition i.e. CRM-M-43682-2016 has already been preferred and statement of the parties have been recorded with regard to compromise.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the present bail petition. However, he fairly admits the factum of compromise.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 28.09.2016; and the compromise effected between the parties, which is proved by the statement (Annexures P-5 to P-8) and acknowledged by the learned State counsel, therefore, no purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

Accordingly, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

05.05.2017

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes / No
Yes / No