

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRR-4106-2016(O&M)
Date of Decision : 15.11.2017**

Sarabjit Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: None for the applicants/petitioners.

Ms.Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

H.S.MADAAN, J. (ORAL)

Notice sent for the service of counsel for the applicants received back served but even then he has not put in appearance. Similar was the position on the previous two dates of hearing.

By way of filing the present revision petition, the petitioners have challenged framing of charge. The revision petition has not been filed within time. There is delay of 66 days in filing of the revision petition. Though an application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act has been filed for condonation of delay but no cogent and convincing reason which might have called for condonation of delay has been explained. Therefore, the petition is liable to be dismissed being time barred alone. However, on merits also after going through the impugned order dated 26.5.2016, I find that it is well reasoned one and has been passed considering the material available on record. Therefore,

no illegality or infirmity with the order under revision is found to be there. On merits also the petition is doomed for failure.

The petition is dismissed accordingly.

15.11.2017
Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No