

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Sr. No.211**

**CRM-M No.948 of 2017**

**Date of decision: 25.04.2017**

Sandeep Singh alias Bhalla

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL**

Present: Ms. Navneet Kaur Sran, Advocate for  
Mr. Divjyot S. Sandhu, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Yadav, AAG, Punjab.

\* \* \*

**DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)**

Through the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in FIR No.15 dated 05.04.2016, registered under Sections 22, 61, 85 of NDPS Act, at Police Station Kabirpur (Ahlikalan), District Kapurthala.

At the very outset, learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Arvinder Jeet Singh, submits that examination of only one prosecution witness and cross-examination of another remains and as the trial is at the fag end, the present petition be rejected.

In view of the above statement made on behalf of the State, learned counsel for the petitioner, at this stage, does not press the present petition. She, however, prays that a direction be issued to conclude the trial within a time bound frame.

After considering the above submission made on behalf of the State and the prayer made on behalf of the petitioner, I am of the opinion that the ends of justice would be met, to direct the State to conclude the prosecution evidence within two months from the next date fixed before the trial Court, of course, subject to the cooperation by the petitioner.

The present petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**April 25, 2017**

*Jyoti 1*

|                                      |               |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>(i) Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>(ii) Whether reportable</i>       | <i>Yes/No</i> |