

**CRM-M-9432-2017 and
CRM-M-10996-2017**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 31.05.2017

1. **CRM-M-9432-2017**

Sukhchain Chand

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

AND

2. **CRM-M-10996-2017**

Prabhjot Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate,
for the petitioners in both the petitions.

Mr. V.P.S.Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. H.S.Virk, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-9432-2017, filed by petitioner-Sukhchain Chand and CRM-M-10996-2017, filed by petitioner-Prabhjot Kaur, under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.26 dated 13.02.2017, registered at Police Station Kotwali

**CRM-M-9432-2017 and
CRM-M-10996-2017**

Kapurthala, District Kapurthala, under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in both these petitions. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and complainant also appeared through his counsel. They contested both these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that vide orders dated 22.03.2017 passed in CRM-M-9432-2017 and dated 03.04.2017 passed in CRM-M-10996-2017, the petitioners were granted interim bail subject to the condition that they will join the investigation, but the petitioners did not join the investigation. On 24.04.2017, none appeared on behalf of the petitioners and case was adjourned to 24.05.2017. On 24.05.2017, direction was again given to the petitioners to join the investigation on 26.05.2017 and case was adjourned for today. But the petitioners have not joined the investigation and not complied with the orders of this Court.

On the count alone that the petitioners have not joined the investigation despite giving two opportunities, they are not entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

31.05.2017

parveen kumar

Note:

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

: Yes

: No