

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-9410 of 2017
DATE OF DECISION : 01.06.2017

Himanshu @ Guddu

.... PETITIONER

Versus

State of Haryana

.... RESPONDENT

CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present : Mr. Manoj Tanwar, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Vikas Chopra, AAG, Haryana.

* * *

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was named as an accused on the alleged disclosure statement of a co-accused and has been in custody for six months.

On query, learned State counsel submits that out of 26 prosecution witnesses, only 3 have been examined so far.

In view of the above, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail.

Consequently, this petition is allowed. The petitioner would be enlarged on bail, upon his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

June 01, 2017
ndj

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No