

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9388-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.11.2017

HARBHAJAN SINGH AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH.

Present: Mr. Ish Puneet Singh, Advocate,
 for the petitioners.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gurmohan Singh Bedi, Advocate,
for respondent No. 3.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondent No. 3 has stated that the parties have amicably settled the matter. In compliance of the order dated 20.03.2017 passed by this Court, FDR amounting to ₹ 15.00 Lakhs in the name of respondent No. 3 for a period of one year has been submitted to the SHO, Police Station Woman Cell, Phagwara. The photocopy of the said FDR has been filed in the Court today. Now, the divorce proceedings are to be commenced.

As agreed by the parties, the SHO, Police Station Woman Cell, Phagwara shall released the aforesaid FDR to the complainant-respondent No. 3 as soon as she makes second statement in the application filed under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, which is yet to be filed. The Bank is accordingly directed to honour the same.

Learned State counsel on instructions from HC Jarnail Singh has stated that in view of the compromise effected between the parties petitioner will not be called to police station for enquiry.

Therefore, the present petition has become infructuous and is hereby disposed of as such.

November 8, 2017
Suresh Kumar

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

	☒		
Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	/	No
			☒
Whether Reportable :	Yes	/	No