

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR 3943-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.11.2017

Charanjit Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.M.S.Yadav, Advocate for
Mr.Sunny K. Singla, Advocate for the petitioner
Ms.Monika Jalota, DAG Punjab

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has produced the receipt No.80 dated 10.10.2017 showing that he has deposited Rs.51,750/- before Legal Services Committee of this Court. Same is taken on record. From the order dated 31.8.2017, it comes out that the parties have compromised the matter. Learned counsel for the complainant has stated before this Court that he had received the entire cheque amount and has no objection if the petitioner is acquitted of the notice of accusation served upon him. Compounding fee has been deposited.

Keeping in view the fact that the offence under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 has been lawfully compounded, judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 27.7.2016, passed by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Nabha and judgment dated 17.10.2016, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala are hereby

set aside and the petitioner stands acquitted of the notice of accusation served upon him. Bail bonds and surety bonds are discharged.

The present revision petition stands allowed accordingly.

07.11.2017
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No