

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-9385 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 22.05.2017.

Hardeep Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. C.L. Pawar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Darshan Singh.

Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438
the Cr.P.C seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.84 dated
01.09.2016, registered under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC at Police
Station Bakshiwala, District Patiala.

It is contended that the petitioner is a class IV
employee posted as a Peon with the office of Vice Chancellor, Punjabi
University, Patiala. The story projected by the prosecution is highly
improbable as the complainant very well knew the fact that the
petitioner being a Peen was not in position to help them in securing job.
The petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case due to
political rivalry.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel submits
that the petitioner is the main accused who allegedly facilitated the
crime and extracted Rs.15 lakh from the complainant and also

generated fake educational certificates in the name of the prospective employment seekers.

The learned counsel for the complainant states that the petitioner in connivance with his co-accused Balbir Singh and others have cheated the complainant on the pretext of securing a government job for the son of the complainant in Punjabi University, Patiala. The amount of Rs.15 lakh was paid to the petitioner. Fake educational certificates were also procured and handed over to the complainant by the petitioner.

Heard.

The petitioner has been named in the FIR. The petitioner along with his co-accused has allegedly charged Rs.15 lakh from the complainant on the pretext of securing the government job for the son of the complainant in Punjabi University, Patiala. The petitioner also allegedly generated /procured fake certificates in the name of the candidates. The amount is yet to be recovered. The Court feels that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is needed to unearth the whole scam. No case for grant of concession of anticipatory bail is made out. Consequently, the petition is dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

22.05.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No