

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-9303 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.03.2017

Sarbhjit Singh @ Sabha

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Shivani Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 124 dated 11.12.2013, registered under Sections 379, 411, 420, 467, 468, 411 and 120-B of IPC, at Police Station City-II, Mansa, District Mansa.

It is contended that petitioner was not arrested from the spot. Subsequently, on the basis of disclosure statement of Santokh Singh, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case. Co-accused, Paramjit Singh @ Pamma has been admitted to bail by this Court, vide order dated 23.12.2016. The petitioner is in custody since 04.01.2014.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 04.01.2014; co-accused, Paramjit Singh @ Pamma has been admitted on bail by this Court; the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

24.03.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No