

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

203

**CWP-13830-2012 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.03.2017**

Kuldeep Kumar and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

(ii)

CWP No. 16103 of 2012 (O&M)

Parveen Gupta

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

(iii)

CWP No. 23186 of 2012 (O&M)

Ranjana Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.B. Bajanthri

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner(s)
in CWP No. 13830 of 2012

Mr. Sushil Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner
in CWP no. 23186 of 2012.

Ms. Anu Chatrath Kapur, Sr. Advocate with

Ms. Harmanpreet Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner(s)
in CWP No. 16103 of 2012.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. R.K.Malik, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate
in CWP No. 13830 of 2012 for respondents No. 4,6 to 9,
CWP No. 16103 for respondents No. 4, 6 to 9.

Mr. Pardeep Solath, Advocate for
respondent No. 6 in CWP No.23188 of 2012.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of CWP-13830-2012 titled as Kuldeep Kumar and others Versus State of Haryana and others along with CWP 16103 of 2012 titled as Parveen Gupta versys State of Haryana and others and CWP No. 23186 of 2012 titled as Ranjana Sharma versus State of Haryana and others.

Pursuant to the order dated 15.02.2017 the Joint Registrar office of the Registrar of Cooperative Society, Haryana has filed an affidavit in which he has admitted that before notifying the seniority list of senior Auditors in the Cooperative Society as on 01.10.2004 dated 03.07.2012 the petitioners and likely to be affected Seniors Auditors have not been heard before assigning ranking in the said list. In view of the above affidavit and the fact that before notifying order dated 03.07.2012 seniority list of Senior Auditors likely to be affected Senior Auditors have not been heard while assigning ranking. Therefore, seniority list dated 03.07.2012 Annexure P-35 is treated to be a provisional seniority list. The petitioners' and others who are aggrieved by the ranking in the seniority list of Senior Auditors dated 03.07.2012 are permitted to file their objections/suggestions if any, before

the competent authority within a period of 6 weeks' from today. The concerned respondent is directed to issue a corrigendum inviting objections/suggestions from those persons who are not parties to these petitions to facilitate them to file objections/suggests, if any. After receipt of the objections/suggestions by such of the Senior Auditors the competent authority is directed to consider each of the objection within a period of 12 weeks from the date of receipts of the objection and proceed to pass final seniority list of Senior Auditors. The above exercise shall be completed within a period of 6 months from today.

With the above observation all these petitions stand disposed of.

Further if the respondents have operated the seniority list dated 03.07.2012 by promoting officials and all those promotions are subject to outcome of final seniority list to be prepared by the official respondents. If any changes in the ranking in that event, competent authority is directed to undertake review of promotions and extend all service benefits.

24.03.2017

pooja saini

**(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasons

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No