

**103 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9256-2017

Date of decision-20.03.2017

Kewal Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Pradeep Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This is a second petition filed under Section 438 of the Criminal Procedure Code for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.01 dated 31.01.2016 registered under Sections 465, 467, 468, 471, 474 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code at Police Station NRI, District Moga (Punjab).

Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to registration certificate of the vehicle in question (Annexure P-4) to contend that on the date of purchase, the vehicle had not been hypothecated in favour of the petitioner. This aspect of the matter has already been examined. The petitioner was even allowed to join the investigation.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the case file.

The petitioner purchased the vehicle in question and further sold for a consideration. Despite, the repeated opportunities afforded to the petitioner, the petitioner has not cooperated in the investigation and

therefore, concession of anticipatory bail was not granted to him. Even otherwise, there is no fresh circumstance has been pointed out by the learned counsel in favour of the petitioner.

Consequently, the application for grant of pre-arrest bail stands dismissed.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

March 20, 2017
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No