

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRR(F)-201 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision:23.01.2017

Sunita Rani and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

Raj Pal

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.U.K.Agnihotri, Advocate, for the petitioner No.2.

Mr.Madan Gupta, Advocate, for the respondent.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

CRM No.33983 of 2014

For the reasons stated in the application, delay of 687 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

Application stands disposed of.

Main Case

The present revision petition has been filed against the order dated 22.09.2012, whereby, learned District Judge, Family Court, Ambala, has dismissed the petition of the petitioners seeking maintenance from respondent-Raj pal.

A perusal of the order dated 22.09.2012 shows that petitioner No.1 had received full and final settlement for her and her minor son i.e. petitioner No.2 in a proceedings under Section 13 B of HMA dated 28.11.2005. The present petition qua respondent No.1 has been dismissed by this Court vide order dated 11.11.2014. With regard to petitioner No.2, the revision petition is pending. As per Section 125 Cr.P.C., a minor who

once attains majority, he is not entitled to claim maintenance. A perusal of

judgment shows that the date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 18.07.1994, as such, he had attained his majority on 18.07.2012.

Hence, in view of the aforesaid discussion above, present petition qua petitioner No.2 is also dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

23.01.2017
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No