

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.209

CRM-M No.9244 of 2017
Date of decision: 24.03.2017

Harish Kumar BaglaPetitioner

versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Kamal Narula, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks regular bail in FIR No.44 dated 14.02.2015, registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 511 IPC, at Police Station Kotwali Bathinda, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that co-accused Babu Ram, who has been attributed an identical role as the petitioner had earlier approached this Court through **CRM-M No.28978 of 2015 – Babu Ram vs. State of Punjab** and has been admitted to bail through order dated 09.09.2015.

In view of the above, on the principle of parity, the petitioner also deserves to be admitted to regular bail.

Bail to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Bathinda.

Nothing observed herein above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this Court on the merits of the case.

If the petitioner is found indulging in any criminal act or misusing the concession of bail granted by this Court, it would be open to the State to seek cancellation of bail granted through this order by filing an appropriate application before the competent Court/this Court.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

March 24, 2017

Jyoti 1

- (i) Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No*
- (ii) Whether reportable Yes/No*