

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR No. 4951 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.08.2017

MANI DHAWAN

...Petitioner

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH.

Present: Mr. Bhupinderbir Singh Randhawa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arpinder Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that since, the petitioner has already undergone the sentence awarded by the Courts below, therefore, the present petition has become infructuous.

In view of the statement of the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petition stands disposed of as having have been rendered infructuous.

August 28, 2017

Suresh Kumar

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Yes / No

✓

Whether Reportable : Yes / No

Yes / No

✓