

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRR(f)-181 of 2014(O&M)
Date of Decision: 30.1.2017

Pankaj

---Petitioner

versus

Sarita

---Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Harish Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the respondent

Rekha Mittal, J.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is not in a position to deposit Rs. 10,000/- in compliance with order dated 14.9.2016 as he is in custody.

On 14.9.2016, application (CRM- 29703 of 2014) for condonation of delay of 148 days in filing the revision was allowed on payment of Rs. 10,000/- within one month from that date. The petitioner failed to comply with the said order. On 9.11.2016, the petitioner was given time till date to deposit Rs. 10,000/-. A relevant extract from order dated 9.11.2016, reads as follows:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner says that the amount has not been deposited and the petitioner is in custody since 10.8.2016.

Petitioner is granted one more opportunity to deposit Rs. 10,000/- as has been directed vide order dated 15.9.2016.

Adjourned to 30.01.2017.”

As the petitioner has failed to deposit Rs. 10,000/- subject to which the application for condonation of delay in filing the revision petition was allowed, the application for condonation of delay is dismissed. As a consequence, the petition is dismissed being barred by limitation.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

30.1.2017
PARAMJIT

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No