

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 10619 of 2013

Date of decision : 19.09.2017

Davinder Kumar

...Petitioner

V/s

State of Punjab & ors.

....Respondent

BEFORE : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsimran Singh Sethi, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Karan Gupta, Advocate for respondent no. 4.

Mr. Vikas Arora, Advocate for respondents no. 5 & 6.

Mr. M.M. Pandey, Advocate for
Mr. Raj Kumar, Advocate for respondent no. 7.

RAJAN GUPTA J.

Petitioner has sought a direction to respondents no. 2 to 4 to restrain the trucks registered in other States for operating in State of Punjab without authority. At the outset, Mr. Sethi, learned State counsel submits that procedure as per law is being followed. As and when a truck bearing a registration of a State other than Punjab is allowed to ply, checks are conducted to ascertain whether it has clearance from the appropriate authority to run within the State. This is done in view of section 66 read with section 88 of the Motor Vehicles Act.

In view of statement made by learned State counsel, no further direction is necessary. In case there is any violation of the statutory provisions, petitioner shall be at liberty to bring the same to the notice of the

concerned authority by way of a representation. Petition is hereby disposed of.

September 19, 2017
Ajay

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No