

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR(F) No.160 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 25.4.2017

Naveen Dahiya

..Petitioner

Vs.

Seema and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr.R.K.Saini, Advocate for the respondents.

REKHA MITTAL, J.

The petitioner-husband has filed the present petition to assail the order dated 12.12.2013 passed by the District Judge, Family Court, Hisar whereby the petitioner was directed to pay an amount of Rs.10,000/- p.m. towards maintenance to the respondent-wife and the minor, born out of the wedlock. On 23.7.2016, order passed by this Court reads as follows:

“Counsel for the petitioner says that he is not able to inform the petitioner.

Let notice be issued to the petitioner, for 27.9.2016.

Petitioner would appear in the Court on the next date of hearing.

Counsel for the respondent says that out of Rs.4,30,000/- only a sum of Rs.2,20,000/- has been paid.

The petitioner would pay a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- on the next date of hearing.”

On the adjourned date i.e. 27.9.2016, counsel for the

respondents apprised this Court that the petitioner is in arrears to the tune of Rs.4,90,000/-. It was also noticed by the Court that the petitioner has not paid an amount of Rs.One lac in compliance with the directions issued by this Court on 23.7.2016. Counsel for the petitioner sought sometime to seek instructions regarding the matter. Since then, there is no response on behalf of the petitioner. It appears to the Court that the matter is being kept alive despite failure of the petitioner to discharge his liability qua arrears of maintenance, accumulated to several lacs.

In view of the above, the petition is dismissed.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

25.4.2017
Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned	- Yes/No
Whether Reportable	- Yes/No