

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-9184 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 30.05.2017

Rajender

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Devinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana
assisted by HC Dharambir.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 226 dated 17.04.2016, registered under Sections 147, 148, 342, 302, 342, 201, 506 read with Section 149 of IPC, at Police Station Sadar Bhiwani, District Bhiwani.

It is contended that no specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner. The learned counsel refers to Annexure P-2 to contend that even an application has been filed by the prosecution for discharge of the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 17.04.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

Heard.

Considering the fact that no specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner; the challan stands presented; the petitioner is in custody since 17.04.2016; the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

30.05.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No