

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9180-2017

Date of Decision: 27.04.2017

Sahadev Kumar

.....Petitioner

VS

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Pardeep Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner in this case had been admitted to interim bail on the ground that the sex determination test actually conducted on a decoy customer was at Sarsawa in District Saharanpur, U.P., though the decoy customer had been taken from Ambala to Sarsawa. Hence, the issue was whether the authorities in Haryana would actually have any jurisdiction at all.

Learned counsel for the State submits that the issue may be left open to be decided by the trial Court itself.

Consequently, the order dated 29.03.2017, admitting the petitioner to interim bail is made absolute on the same terms and conditions with the issue of jurisdiction left open to be decided by the trial Court.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

April 27, 2017

nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No.