Sanjiv Kumar Sharma
2017.11.20 16:20
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 3703 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 17.11.2017

Jaswant Singh ..... Revisionist

Versus

Rakesh Jain and another ..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- None for revisionist.

None for respondent No. 1.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned State counsel states that since copy of revision was not been supplied to them, therefore, custody certificate could not be produced.

It comes out that notice was issued only on the point of quantum of sentence. Since none is appearing for revisionist for the last two dates, the same is accordingly dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

17.11.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : No

Whether Reportable : No