

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9131-2017

Date of decision : 10.05.2017

Raju @ Raj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. M.S. Tewatia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Ram Kishan, submits that after the petitioner had been admitted to interim bail by this court on the last date of hearing, he has appeared before the trial Court on the date of hearing set by that Court in the trial.

Considering the aforesaid, and the fact that though earlier the petitioner is stated to have jumped bail but thereafter he surrendered, himself, as is recorded in the order dated 19.04.2017, which fact is not refuted by the learned State counsel, the aforesaid order dated 19.04.2017, granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute on the same terms and conditions on the same bail and surety bonds already furnished.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

10.05.2017
adhikari/rajneesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No