

233 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 3682 of 2016 (O/M)

Date of decision : 13.7.2017

Bhupinder Singh @ Bhinder

..... Revisionist

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate, for the revisionist.
Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, DAG Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for revisionist has restricted his prayer to quantum of sentence only, as reflected in the order dated 5.10.2016, passed by a coordinate bench of this Court.

The revisionist has been convicted under Section 61 (1) (c) of the Punjab Excise Act and was sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for one year and fine of Rs. 5,000/-, in default thereof, to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for one month by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Bathinda, vide judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 2.6.2015. The said judgment was upheld by the learned Sessions Judge, Bathinda vide judgment dated 26.9.2016.

Since the said sentence is minimum provided for the offence, no reduction in sentence is possible. Hence, the revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

13.7.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No