

**CRM-M-912-2017 and
CRM-M-2126-2017**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 14.02.2017

1. CRM-M-912-2017

Harsimran Kaur @ Harsimrat Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

AND

2. CRM-M-2126-2017

Jasveer Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Onkar Singh Batalvi, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-912-2017.

Mr. Ashok Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-2126-2017.

Mr. Deep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-912-2017, filed by
petitioner-Harsimran Kaur @ Harsimrat Kaur and CRM-M-2126-2017, filed
by petitioner-Jasveer Kaur, under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal

**CRM-M-912-2017 and
CRM-M-2126-2017**

FIR No.55 dated 24.12.2016, registered at Police Station Sadar Pathankot, under Sections 420, 380 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion has been issued in both these petitions. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that the present FIR has been registered against so many persons including the present petitioners, who are ladies. As per the allegations, an agreement to sell was executed in the year 2002, however, occurrence as alleged regarding demolition of wall took place in the year 2008 and FIR has been registered in the year 2016. It looks that dispute is in respect of purchasing of Bajwa Plywood Industry.

In pursuance of the orders dated 13.01.2017 passed in CRM-M-912-2017 and 23.01.2017 passed in CRM-M-2126-2017, the petitioners have already joined the investigation. They are now not required for custodial interrogation.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details; without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and in view of the facts that the petitioners are ladies and not required for custodial interrogation, I find that no useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioners to custody. Therefore, finding merit in these petitions, the same are allowed. The orders

**CRM-M-912-2017 and
CRM-M-2126-2017**

CRM-M-2126-2017, granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

14.02.2017
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No