

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR 4820-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 14.11.2017

Virender Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: None for the petitioner
Mr.R.K.Makkad, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Custody certificate dated 13/14.11.2017 filed in Court today and the same is taken on record.

None is present to assist the Court on behalf of the petitioner.

Notice of motion was issued only on the quantum of sentence.

Since, none is present on behalf of the petitioner, I proceed to decide the present petition.

Present petitioner who became proclaimed offender in a case bearing FIR No.449 dated 25.8.2008 was booked under Section 174-A IPC. Learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Panchkula, vide judgment dated 26.8.2015 sentenced him to undergo Imprisonment for four months. Appeal against the said judgment was dismissed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Panchkula vide judgment dated 3.11.2015.

Perusal of Custody certificate shows that the petitioner has already undergone the total sentence of 3 months and 5 days, including

remissions of 2 days. It is stated that the main case, in which, the petitioner was declared proclaimed offender, has also been compromised between the parties and the petitioner is stated to have been released on probation. It being so, considering the entirety of circumstances, sentence of the petitioner is reduced to the period already undergone by him.

With the above noted modification, the present revision petition is dismissed.

14.11.2017
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No