

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.9100 of 2017

Date of Decision: 17.03.2017

Keshav Tayal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. M.K. Bhatnagar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner alleges violation of civil rights at the hands of respondent No.3. Precisely for the grievance in question, petitioner has moved a representation dated 09.03.2017 to respondent No.2.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ripudaman, A.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity to file reply as no order prejudicial to the interest of the parties is being passed.

At this stage, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the matter and pass appropriate order in the event of finding any cognizable act to have been committed by any person. If no cognizable offence is found to have been committed, then the petitioner be informed as per parameters laid down in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).**

17.03.2017

prince

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether Reasoned/Speaking

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No