

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 9094 of 2017(O&M)

Date of decision: 18.3.2017

Rupinder Kaur

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

REKHA MITTAL, J.(Oral)

The petitioner prays for modification of orders passed by the Courts below whereby she was allowed maintenance at the rate of Rs.3,500/- per month by the trial Court vide order dated 06.04.2015 (Annexure P-1) and was enhanced to Rs.4,500/- per month vide order dated 18.08.2015 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Revisional Court.

The sole submission made by counsel for the petitioner is that even maintenance assessed by the Revisional Court is not sufficient to satisfy needs of the petitioner and incur expenditure on her married daughter and her family, therefore, maintenance is liable to be enhanced.

I have heard counsel for the petitioner, perused the paper-book particularly the orders impugned.

The Revisional Court in para 10 of the order has noticed that the respondent is owner of land measuring 4 acres and he has given the land on lease fetching an income of Rs.1,60,000/- per annum at the rate of Rs.40,000/- per acre per annum in the area concerned.

Counsel for the petitioner has not disputed correctness of these factual findings with regard to the respondent being owner of 4 acres of land and the land being given on lease. There is nothing on record suggestive of the fact that the respondent has any other source of income. In this view of the matter, I do not find any error much less illegality in the order passed by the Revisional Court allowing maintenance at the rate of Rs.4,500/- per month out of income of the husband to the tune of Rs.13,000/- per month.

Dismissed.

MARCH 18, 2017		(REKHA MITTAL)
‘D. Gulati’		JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	:	yes/no
Whether reportable	:	yes/no