

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CRM No.M-908 of 2017
Date of decision:20.3.2017

Aarti and another

... Petitioners

versus

Union territory Chandigarh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: None for the petitioners
Ms.Ashima More, APP, Chandigarh
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners is again not present, as was the case on 17.3.2017.

Learned State counsel has reiterated that the petitioners have got their statements recorded with ASI Tika Singh of Police Station I.T.Park, Chandigarh, to the effect that they do not presently require any protection.

Further, it is seen that though notices were issued to respondents no.4 and 5, to be served at the address given in the memo of parties, i.e. Babu Jai Mohalla, Tehsil and District Shahjahanpur, Uttar Pradesh, the same have not been received back served or otherwise.

Keeping in view the fact that counsel for the petitioners has not appeared for the second date in succession, and the aforesaid statement made by learned State counsel, this petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

However respondents no.2 and 3 are directed to ensure that the

lives and liberty of the petitioners continue to be protected within the four corners of law.

20.3.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No