

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-9065 of 2017****Date of decision: July 04, 2017**

Vinay

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**Present:** Mr. Ajay Ghangas, Advocate the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Sanjeev Kr. Panwar, Advocate for the complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.351 dated 12.11.2016, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325, 307, 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Chand Hut, District Palwal, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 17.03.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official submits that the petitioner has joined investigation and custody is not required.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 17.03.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE****July 04, 2017**

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No