

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9039 of 2017

Date of Decision: 19.04.2017

Bhupinder

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. D.P.S.Bajwa, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K.Yadav, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana,
for the respondent.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By this petition, filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C, the petitioner seeks the concession of 'regular bail'.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner having been already in custody for more than 04 years, he deserves the concession of bail at this stage.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Mahender, P.S. Narwana, District Jind, submits that in fact all prosecution witnesses have already been examined and now the trial is only on-going for examination of defence witnesses and arguments thereafter, before pronouncement of judgment.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has been specifically named in the FIR and attributed an active role, and all prosecution witnesses have already been examined, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, I do not consider it to be an appropriate case to grant the concession of bail at this stage of the trial.

Dismissed.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

19.04.2017
adhikari

Whether reasoned/speaking:

Yes/no

Whether reportable:

Yes/no