

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-9022-2017

Date of decision: March 22, 2017.

Laxman

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Haryana

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: ShriSandeep Kumar Yadav , Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

In FIR No. 43 dated 21.2.2017, under Sections 272, 417, 420 IPC and Section 7/16 of the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, 1954 and later on added Sections 26/59 of the Food Safety & Standard Act, 2006, the petitioner was arrested on 20.2.2017 and is in jail since then.

Undoubtedly, the allegations against the petitioner were that he was driving a vehicle owner of which was Satish, in which ultimately the material for adulteration of milk was found. This is said to be the first offence of the petitioner, as stated by Learned counsel for the petitioner also. The trial court has rejected the application for regular bail on the ground that the report of the Food Analyst is awaited. I think that is no ground to reject the application for regular bail looking to the period that has passed, namely, since 20.2.2017. There is no need to continue the detention of the petitioner pending trial. The petition is therefore allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail to the satisfaction of the trial court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

March 22, 2017.

kadyan

[A.B. Chaudhari]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No