

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Sr. No. 116

Case No. : Crl. Misc. No. M-9005 of 2017

Date of Decision : March 16, 2017

Kamaljeet Singh and another Petitioners
vs.
State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL.

* * *

Present : Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. :

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have married each other against the wishes of the parents of petitioner No.2 and on account of this act on their part, they are under threat of being eliminated at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6. In view of the above, seeking protection, a representation dated 11.03.2017 (Annexure P-5) was made on behalf of the petitioners to the Commissioner of Police, Amritsar but to no avail.

In the above circumstances, the petitioners have approached this Court through the present petition.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage and the age of the

petitioners as projected by them in the present petition, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner of Police, Amritsar (respondent No.2) to forthwith consider the aforesaid representation dated 11.03.2017 (Annexure P-5) made on behalf of the petitioners and thereafter take appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit in accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

March 16, 2017
monika

Whether speaking/reasoned ?	Yes/No.
Whether reportable ?	Yes/No.