

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-898 of 2017 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 03.04.2017**

Ajmer Singh & others --Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab --Respondent

**2.CRM No. M-2520 of 2017 (O&M)**

Deepak Kumar --Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab --Respondent

**3.CRM No. M-2521 of 2017 (O&M)**

Krishan Dev --Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab --Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.**

Present:- Mr. Jaideep Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Harpreet K. Athwal, DAG, Punjab.

**TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.**

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-898-2017 (Ajmer Singh & others Versus State of Punjab), CRM-M-2520-2017 (Deepak Versus State of Punjab) and CRM-M-2521-2017 (Krishan Dev Versus State of Punjab) as these three connected petitions have been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners herein in case FIR No.160, dated 01.08.2014, under Sections 465/467/468/471 IPC, registered at Police Station Salem Tabri, District Ludhiana.

While granting interim protection to the petitioners in CRM-M-898-

2017 on 20.01.2017, the following order was passed by this Court:

*“During the course of arguments, learned State counsel, who is on instructions from ASI Sukhpal Singh would very fairly state that there is no direct role attributed to the petitioners herein as regards setting up of the forged and fabricated agreement to sell dated 19.03.2005 and on the strength of which the ex parte decree of specific performance relating to the property in question has been procured by the main accused, namely, Dilbagh Singh.*

*List on 03.04.2017.*

*In the meanwhile, petitioners are directed to appear before the Investigating Officer and to join investigation.*

*In the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and they shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”*

Likewise, in CRM-M-2520-2017, while issuing notice of motion, the following order was passed by this Court on 25.01.2017:

*“Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would inter alia contend that the present petitioner has no role insofar as setting up of the alleged forged and fabricated agreement to sell dated 19.03.2005 and on the strength of which the ex parte decree of specific performance relating to the property in question belonging to the complainant Ram Lubhaya had been procured by the main accused, namely, Dilbagh Singh. Counsel has also adverted to the order dated 20.01.2017 passed by this Court in CRM No.M-898 of 2017 whereby similarly situated co-accused Ajmer Singh, Swarn Singh and Sanjeev have been granted ad interim protection as regards arrest.*

*Notice of motion, returnable for 03.04.2017.*

*To be listed along with CRM No.M-898 of 2017.*

*In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before Investigating Officer and to join investigation.*

*In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and he shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”*

Similarly, in CRM-M-2521-2017, while issuing notice of motion, the following order was passed by this Court on 25.01.2017:

*“Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would inter alia contend that the present petitioner has no role insofar as setting up of the alleged forged and fabricated agreement to sell dated 19.03.2005 and on the strength of which the ex parte decree of specific performance relating to the property in question belonging to the complainant Ram Lubhaya had been procured by the main accused, namely, Dilbagh Singh. Counsel has also adverted to the order dated 20.01.2017 passed by this Court in CRM No.M- 898 of 2017 whereby similarly situated co-accused Ajmer Singh, Swarn Singh and Sanjeev have been granted ad interim protection as regards arrest.*

*Notice of motion, returnable for 03.04.2017.*

*To be listed along with CRM No.M-898 of 2017.*

*In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before Investigating Officer and to join investigation.*

*In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and he shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”*

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Sukhpal Singh apprises the Court the petitioners in these three connected petitions have since joined investigation. Even the observations contained in the notice of motion orders passed in these three petitions have gone un rebutted. State counsel further apprises the Court that a compromise in the matter has already been effected and

on the strength of which even a quashing petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed in this Court.

In view of the above, prayer made in these connected petitions is allowed. Order dated 20.01.2017 passed by this Court in CRM-M-898-2017 and orders dated 25.01.2017 passed in CRM-M-2520-2017 and in CRM-M-2521-2017 are made absolute.

Disposed of.

03.04.2017

harjeet

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)  
JUDGE

- |     |                            |     |
|-----|----------------------------|-----|
| i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes |
| ii) | Whether reportable?        | No  |