

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.8955 of 2017
Date of Decision: 16.03.2017**

NITIN KAPOOR & ANR

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer made in this petition is for issuance of necessary directions to the respondent Nos.2 and 3 in the context of protection of lives and personal liberties of the petitioners from being invaded by at the hands of the private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that though the representation has been moved before respondent No.2 without approaching respondent No.3 at the first instance, but since lives and liberties of the petitioners are involved particularly in view of the fact that respondent No.4 who is wife of petitioner No.1 is all and out to cause material damage to the petitioners.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. P.P. Chahar, D.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of official respondents. Let requisite copies of the petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioners during the course of the day.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon reply at this stage, as no order prejudicial to the interest of respondents is being passed.

At this juncture, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioners and if cognizable offence at the instance of respondent No.4 is found to have been committed, then respondent No.3 can be suitably directed to act in accordance with law.

Let the needful be done within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of.

March 16, 2017

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Yes/No

Yes/No