

**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.895 of 2017

Date of Decision: January 13, 2017

Devki Kumari and another

.....Petitioners

Vs

UT, Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty from the hands of respondent No.4.

Petitioners claim themselves to be major and have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their parents on 11.01.2017. Factum of marriage has been pleaded with reference to marriage photographs (Annexure P-3). Petitioners feel reasonable apprehension at the hands of respondent No.4, who was opposing their marriage.

Precisely, for the grievance made in the present petition, petitioners have already sent a representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh-respondent No.2 (Annexure P-4), but no action has been taken so far.

Without commenting upon validity of marriage and in view of prayer made in the petition, Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh-respondent No.2 is directed to look into the representation made by the petitioners (Annexure P-4) and if required, necessary protection be provided to them if they are not required in any unlawful activity.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

January 13, 2017

jyoti Y.

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No