

**208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.8835 of 2017

Date of Decision: 22.03.2017

BALDEV SINGH

-PETITIONER

VS

STATE OF PUNJAB

-RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sant Pal Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, A.A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.132 dated 08.09.2016 under Sections 302, 324, 323, 325, 506, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Meharban, District Ludhiana.

The FIR was registered at the instance of Jagtar Singh alleging that his father Nidhan Singh had purchased 6 kanals of land in village Sasrali. Adjoining to this land, Jagat Singh had also purchased some land. There was some dispute of land with Jagat Singh as well as in respect of installation of an electric transformation. The allegation against the petitioner is that on the fateful day, he gave a dang blow on the left leg of Nidhan Singh. Nidhan Singh fell

down and when he tried to get up, petitioner caught hold of Nidhan Singh from his waist and thereafter, Ajmer Singh inflicted several blows with spade on his head. Injuries on the head ultimately proved fatal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner by referring to post-mortem report of deceased Nidhan Singh stated that no injury on the left leg of Nidhan Singh was found as per post-mortem report. The allegation of inflicting injury by the petitioner on the left leg of Nidhan Singh which made him to fall on the ground has not been substantiated with regard to any medical record.

Co-accused namely Charanjit Singh and Bhupinder Singh have since been granted regular bail by this Court on 27.01.2017 and 09.02.2017 and the case of the petitioner cannot be segregated with those persons at this stage.

At this stage, without meaning anything on merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail.

In view of above, the petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

22.03.2017
jjyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No