

CRM-M-8825-2017

[1]

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(Sr.No.263)

CRM-M-8825-2017

Date of Decision:-May 19, 2017

Chanan alias Channa Singh and others

.....Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. N.S. Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. D.S. Virk, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Harish Davedi, Advocate,
for respondent No.2-complainant.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.203 dated 14.12.2016 registered under Sections 452, 323, 506, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Model Town, Ludhiana the petitioners are being prosecuted.

Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned counsel for respondents No.2-complainant state that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties. This Court had directed the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to record the statements vide order dated March 16, 2017 in view of the compromise effected between the parties and verify that whether the same is genuine or not. Now, there is a report received from the District & Sessions Judge, Ludhiana showing that the

statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise that has been arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

Looking to the nature of the offences registered in the FIR, I think, parties should be allowed to compound/compromise the offences in order to live in peace and harmony.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.203 dated 14.12.2016 registered under Sections 452, 323, 506, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Model Town, Ludhiana is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of.

May 19, 2017
pankaj

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No