

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.8813 of 2017
Date of Decision : 08.09.2017**

Rahish

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

It is submitted by the Ld. counsel for the State that the petitioner has not joined investigation in terms of the order granting him interim protection on 16th March, 2017. Ld. counsel for the State further submits that the petitioner is similarly absent without intimation in four other pending criminal cases against him of the years 2003 to 2008.

Ld. counsel for the petitioner seeks more time to enable his client to join the investigation by submitting that the petitioner could not do so thus far due to some “personal reasons”.

In view of such conduct of the petitioner, he does not appear entitled to any leniency.

Consequently, the present petition is dismissed after recalling the earlier order of interim bail dated 16th March, 2017.

September 08, 2017
Dpr

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No