

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.8793 of 2017
Date of Decision: 15.03.2017**

Dalbir Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. R.S. Duggal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that after registration of FIR No.73 dated 28.02.2017 under Section 346 IPC. An information in respect of cognizable act of private respondents No.4 and 5 was given to respondent No.3 on 02.03.2017, but till date, no action has been taken by respondent No.3. When the representation of the petitioner was not entertained by respondent No.3, he gave an intimation to respondent No.2.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity to file reply as no order prejudicial to the interest of the parties is being passed.

At this stage, this petition is disposed of with a direction

to respondent No.2 to have a fair look on the grievance of the petitioner. In case, the information submitted by the petitioner is found to be cognizable in nature, then respondent No.3 can be called upon to act in accordance with law as per guidelines framed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1)**. In case, the information is found to be lacking in material particulars, the complainant be informed accordingly within the time prescribed in **Lalita Kumari's case (supra)**.

15.03.2017

prince

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE****Whether Reasoned/Speaking****Yes/No****Whether Reportable****Yes/No**