

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

228

**CRM-M-8749-2017
DATE OF DECISION: 11.10.2017**

POOJA & ANR

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Advocate for petitioner No.1 and
Mr. Nirmal Singh, Advocate for petitioner No.2.

Mr. Karan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Gehlawat, Advocate
for respondents No.3 & 4.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been preferred by the petitioners for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 and 2 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend threat from respondents No.3 and 4.

Learned counsel appearing for petitioner No.1 states that the petitioner No.1 wants to withdraw this petition and she shall be adopting appropriate remedy available to her under the law. Learned counsel further states that petitioner No.1 is residing with her parents and does not have any threat to her life and liberty. Petitioner No.1, who is present in Court, states that earlier she had preferred the petition as she had been threatened by petitioner No.2 into doing so. She has also filed her affidavit, which is on record as Annexure A-1.

Consequently, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn qua petitioner No.1.

Learned State counsel has also filed reply, wherein it is stated that the statement of petitioner No.1 was recorded, which indicates that she is residing with her parents. Therefore, the very basis of the petition that the petitioners had married each other and are receiving threats from the parents of petitioner No.1 appears to be without any foundation.

Consequently, the petition qua petitioner No.2 is dismissed.

11.10.2017.
SwarnjitS

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes / No
Whether reportable :	Yes / No