

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 4445 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.03.2017

Reeta

--Petitioner

Versus

Sikander Singh & another

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Ms. Harveen Kaur, Advocate for respondent no.1.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar Bansal, A.A.G., Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

The instant revision petition is directed against the concurrent conviction of the petitioner for offence under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act and wherein she has been sentenced to undergo imprisonment for a period of 2 years and to pay a fine of Rs.5,000/- and in default of payment of fine to further undergo RI for 3 months.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from HC Davinder Singh apprises the Court that the petitioner has already undergone the sentence and has since been released.

Such factual position is not even rebutted by the counsel representing complainant/respondent Sikander Singh.

Under such circumstances, the instant revision petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

27.03.2017

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No