

210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-869 of 2017

Date of decision: March 22, 2017

Vijender

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Sant Lal Barwala, Advocate the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.348 dated 02.05.2015, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Hisar, District Hisar, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 13.02.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Assistant Sub Inspector Joginder Singh, states that ₹10,000/-has been deposited by the petitioner. Learned State counsel further submits that the petitioner has joined investigation and custody is not required.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner submits that the petitioner has no objection in forfeiting the said amount with the State of Haryana.

Accordingly, the amount of ₹10,000/- deposited by the

petitioner is forfeited to the State of Haryana.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 13.02.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

March 22, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No