

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-8659-2017
Date of Decision :10.05.2017**

ANIL VERMA

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Govinder Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Kumar Yadav, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner tried to settle the matter with the complainant, respondent No.2-Seema Verma. She wishes her money to be given back, which the petitioner is not able to give.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is alleged to have sold the same land to respondent No.2 as was sold by him to another person also, I see no reason to continue the interim order in his favour.

Hence, without making any final comment on the merits of the case, this petition is dismissed.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 10, 2017

Sunil Devi

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No