

**209 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-8626 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 04.05.2017.

Ajay Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. L.S. Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. Jaganjot Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438
the Cr.P.C seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 68 dated
22.05.2016 registered under Sections 498-A and 406 IPC at Police
Station Lehra, District Sangrur.

On 15.03.2017 this Court had passed the following
order:-

“Learned counsel refers to Annexure P-5 to contend that a
compromise has been reached between the parties.

Notice of motion for 04.05.2017.

At this stage, Mr. Jaganjot Singh, Advocate causes
representation on behalf of respondent No.2 and admits the
factum of compromise.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the
Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject
to the following conditions:-

1. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
3. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 15.03.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The factum of compromise stands duly acknowledged by the learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of above, the order dated 15.03.2017 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to furnishing of bail-bonds/surety bonds by the petitioner to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

04.05.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No