

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-8586 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 14.03.2017

Rupjeet Saggar

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Armaan Midha, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

The instant petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C is directed against the order dated 21.10.2016 (Annexure P-9), passed by the Trial Court declining permission to the petitioner to go abroad. Challenge is also laid to an order dated 13.12.2016 (Annexure P-10), whereby a revision petition having been preferred, has been declined.

Learned senior counsel has been heard at length.

Perusal of the impugned orders at Annexures P-9 and P-10 would make it apparent that permission to proceed abroad was sought on the ground to afford medical treatment to the father of the petitioner. The present petition to the contrary seeks the same relief but on a totally different ground i.e. to attend certain seminars/conferences pertaining to his nature of profession i.e. of running a travel agency.

Concededly, such ground for proceeding abroad has never been pressed before the Trial Court.

Under such circumstances, learned senior counsel prays for withdrawal of the instant petition so as to facilitate and enable the petitioner to file the requisite application before the Trial Court.

Prayer is allowed.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty, as prayed for.

It is, however, clarified that the liberty being granted while dismissing the instant petition as withdrawn would not be construed by the Trial Court as regards any expression of opinion with regard to the prayer of the petitioner on merits regarding proceeding abroad during pendency of the trial.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

14.03.2017

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No