

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-8580 OF 2017
DATE OF DECISION : 16th MARCH, 2017

Sharukhan @ Saru

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Vikram Jeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and seen the FIR and injury report quoted by the trial Court in its order.

It is clear cut case of disfigurement of the face. The complainant must have undergone lot of pain and must have spent lot of money for the surgery/plastic surgery etc. The petitioner was given an offer to compensate the complainant by paying an amount of ₹2 lakh. However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not in a position to pay the said amount.

Be that as it may, looking to the nature of the injuries I find that the injuries are very serious and particularly when there is a disfigurement of the face which is the offence under Section 326 IPC. The petition for anticipatory bail is, therefore, liable to be rejected.

In that view of the matter, the petition for anticipatory bail is rejected, with liberty to the petitioner to apply for the regular bail.

16th March, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No