

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.8539 of 2017

Date of Decision: 10.03.2017

Rekha Rani and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Vashishth, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Petitioners have filed this petition for issuance of appropriate directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their lives and personal liberties from being invaded by respondents No.4 to 8. Though there is no marriage between the petitioners, but petitioner No.1 is living with petitioner No.2. Petitioner No.2 is a married man having his family in the house.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, let notice of motion be issued to respondents No.1 to 3-State.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Addl, AG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State. Let three copies of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to

pass, there is no necessity to file reply as no order prejudicial to the interest of the parties is being passed.

As petitioner No.1 is apprehending threats at the instance of private respondents, respondent No.2 is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioners as projected by them in their representation dated 07.03.2017 and if case is made out for interference, then necessary orders be passed in the context of providing necessary protection to the petitioners in accordance with law.

Disposed of accordingly.

10.03.2017
prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Reasoned/Speaking

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No