

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8536-2017

Date of decision: 10.03.2017

Sushma Devi and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Ms. Harpinder Kaur Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty and to provide adequate security to protect them from respondent Nos.4 to 10, who are parents and relatives of petitioner No.1. The petitioners, who are present in Court today, further contend that they being major have solemnized marriage with their own consent in accordance with law. The private respondents are not accepting the marriage of the petitioners and are extending threats to them. Copies of *adhar* card of petitioners (Annexures P-1 and P-2) and marriage photographs (Annexure P-3), have been placed on record.

At this stage, Mr. M.S.Kathuria, Advocate has appeared and filed vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No.9. He submits that the private respondents has not extended any threat to the petitioners.

Heard.

Without going into the validity of the marriage, the present petition is disposed of with the directions to the petitioners to contact respondents Nos.2 and 3, who, after their satisfaction that the petitioners are actually facing threat, shall take necessary steps to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from private respondent Nos.4 to 10, as per law.

However, it is made clear that this order, in no way, will affect any other proceedings pending or to be filed against the petitioners etc., which are to be dealt with independently as per law.

10.03.2017

parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No