

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-8515 of 2017

DATE OF DECISION : 03.08.2017

Pawan Kumar

.... PETITIONER

Versus

State of Haryana

.... RESPONDENT

CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. H.S. Kasan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

* * *

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on April 07, 2017, had submitted before this Court that earlier anticipatory bail was granted to the petitioner and accordingly, he had joined the investigation, but later on the said bail was declined to him vide order dated 02.02.2017 on the ground that he had not cooperated in the investigation. Learned State counsel was asked to verify this aspect.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Ramandeep Kaur, has stated that the petitioner has again joined the investigation on 23.07.2017 and is no longer required for custodial interrogation. She further states that the challan is also ready.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the petitioner

is granted anticipatory bail subject to the conditions, as envisaged under
Section 438 Cr.P.C.

August 03, 2017
ndj

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No