

206

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 3053 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 16.11.2017

Harbhagwan Dass

..... Revisionist

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Anjali Kukar, Advocate, for revisionist.
Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG Punjab.
Mr. Gulzar Mohd., Advocate, for,
Mr. S.K. Arora, Advocate, for respondent No. 2.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for revisionist has produced original receipt No. 142 of Rs. 30,000/- in Court today, showing that said amount has been deposited before the Secretary, District Legal Services Authority, Faridkot, and same is taken on record.

It comes out from the order dated 25.7.2017, passed by this Court, that the parties have compromised. The entire cheque amount has been paid to complainant-respondent No. 2. The learned proxy counsel appearing for learned counsel for complainant-respondent No. 2 has no objection, if the judgments of both the Courts below are set aside. Today, the compounding fee has also been paid.

As such, revision is allowed. The judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 28.1.2016, passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Faridkot, convicting and sentencing revisionist under Section 138

CRR No. 3053 of 2016 (O/M)

of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, as well as the judgment dated 27.7.2016, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridkot, are hereby set aside and revisionist stands acquitted of notice of accusation, served upon him. Bail bond and surety bond of revisionist stand discharged.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

1611.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No