

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.8484 of 2017
Date of Decision: 14.03.2017**

GURDIAL SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

SUPDT OF POLICE AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Ms. Mannat Anand, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer made in this petition is for issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents in the context of registration of case against respondent No.3.

Allegations in respect of commission of cognizable offence have been made in the petition for which even representation and complaints have also been filed before the Authorities including Deputy Commissioner, Ambala, D.C.P., Haryana Police, Amabla, District Education Officer, Barara and District Social Welfare Officer, Ambala. Reminders have also been sent in pursuance thereof.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. P.P. Chahar, D.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of official respondents. Let requisite copies of the petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of the day.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon reply at this stage, as no order prejudicial to the interest of respondents is being passed.

At this juncture, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to look into the grievance of the petitioner by going into the veracity of allegations made in the complaint. If cognizable offence is found to have been committed, then respondent No.1 is directed to act within the parameters as laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1)**. However, if no offence is found to have been committed, then the petitioner be informed in compliance of **Lalita Kumari's** case (supra).

March 14, 2017

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Yes/No

Yes/No